RE: Portland Harbor BHHRA - "draft" modifications to Sept 17 final version

Elizabeth Allen to: Laura Kennedy 01/15/2013 10:36 AM

Cc: Chip Humphrey, "James McKenna", jworonets, Kristine Koch

Bcc: "Dreher, Richard"

Hi Laura,

I agree, the current version of the risk assessment makes many of the maps not longer relevant, and only the results on a river mile scale are amenable to such a presentation. I also agree with deleting the Section 5 figures. It's also been quite a while since I looked at the titles of the maps related to Section 2. I remember taking a class in scientific writing back in my days at Berkeley, one of the few things I remember that they recommended that if you could get the whole conclusion into the title of the article, so much the better. But I'm not sure that works well for table and map titles. Clearly this isn't a dispute issue, so I'm merely making last-minute suggestions, but perhaps we could incorporate our friends the comma and/or the dash into these titles? Much of the information is sort of self-explanatory because it's in the risk assessment. So for Map 2-6, I'd suggest a simple "Sample Locations - (em dash) Smallmouth Bass and Carp." That these are sample locations of data used to evaluate fish consumption is already described in the text, and well, why else would it be in the risk assessment? The same applies to the other Section 2 maps...

Elizabeth

"Laura Kennedy" 01/15/2013 08:56:03 AM Elizabeth,

"Laura Kennedy" < Laura Kennedy @ Kennedy Jenks.com> From:

Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, To:

Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "James McKenna" <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>, Cc:

<jworonets@anchorqea.com>, Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

01/15/2013 08:56 AM Date:

Subject: RE: Portland Harbor BHHRA - "draft" modifications to Sept 17 final version

Elizabeth,

I don't think we've specifically discussed the topic of the figures and maps. In terms of the maps, I'm assuming that we would only include the maps relevant for the recreational or subsistence fisher scenarios (i.e., multi-species on a Study Area-wide basis and smallmouth bass for the river mile basis). Since we do not show the Study Area-wide risks on maps (which would not be very informative), the only maps that we would include for fish consumption would be for smallmouth bass (Maps 5-4-1 through 5-4-3), and we'll rename those consistent with the tables. Also, I suggest changing the title of Map 2-6 from "Sample Locations for Assessment of Smallmouth Bass and Carp Tissue Consumption" to "Sample Locations for Smallmouth Bass and Carp Tissue used in the Assessment of Fish Consumption" (not a great title, but it's somewhat consistent with the other titles).

The figures are a bit more complicated. The Section 5 figures currently are based on adult cancer risks and child cancer risks. We do not have figures for the combined adult/child risks. Given that the information is already presented in the tables and text, I recommend deleting the figures from Section 5. Please let me know if you agree.

If you want to discuss, let me know. I'm out of the office until Friday and can be reached by cell

Laura